# **CONTENTS**

Sl.No.	Description	Page No.
1.	Personal Data	2
2.	Project Planner	3
3.	Activity Schedule	3
4.	Weightage of Marks	4
5.	Activity Timeline	4,5
6.	Publications	6
7.	References	7
8.	Attendance	8
9	Weekly Review Meeting with Team Members	9,10
10	Submission of documents during each review	11,12
11.	Marks Allocation	13

# PERSONAL DATA

Name of the students:						
1.		3.				
2.		4.				
Univ.Reg.No		Degree		Branch		
Project Title						
Venue of the Project	Inhouse / Com	pany				
Name of the Guide						
Review	0	1	2	3(Final)		
Dates						
Date of commencement		Expected date o completion	f	Actual date of	f completion	
Date of Submission of Draft Report	/Demo	Date of Approval of Draft Report/Demo		Date of Approval of Final Report/Demo		
	In case of C	Company Projects				
Name of the company in which the student has been permitted to the project:						
Address of the registered office of the company:						
Guide details	In	ternal		Externa	.1	
Name of the Guide						
Designation						
Department						
Qualification						
Experience						
Contact Phone						
Fax						
Email						

**TABLE 1: PROJECT PLANNER** 

Sl.No.	Description	Time Requirement (in days)	<b>Cumulative Time</b>
1.	Abstract	1	1
2.	Literature survey	3	4
3.	Data collection/ Design	6	10
4.	Procurement of materials	2	12
5.	Assembling	5	17
6.	Testing	5	22
7.	Validation	5	27
8.	Publication & THESIS WRITING	9	36
	Total	36	

# TABLE 2: ACTIVITY SCHEDULE FOR REVIEW PRESENTATION

Sl	Review No.	Activity / work completion	Contents of PPT	Remarks	
1.	0 <sup>th</sup> Review	<ul> <li>Title of the Project</li> <li>One page write-up (Abstract)</li> <li>Company name and address in case of external project</li> <li>Company willingness – Certificate</li> <li>Name and contact details of Internal &amp; External guides</li> </ul>	Title of the Project Name of the Guide Abstract Block Diagram Software and Hardware required	3 to 5 slide 10% completion	
2.	1 <sup>st</sup> Review	<ul> <li>Literature collection Definition of methodology</li> <li>Abstract of Literature Survey and justification of Choice of the Project</li> </ul>	Literature Survey with base paper and reference to the proposed project	4 slides- 20 % Completion	
3.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Review	<ul> <li>Design of experimental set-up.</li> <li>Fabrication of experimental set-up and data acquisition system.</li> <li>Preparation of model and analysis.</li> </ul>	Design, Algorithm & Architecture	70% Completion	
4.	3 <sup>rd</sup> Review	<ul> <li>Comparison of results &amp; presenting the conclusion with suitable graphs.</li> <li>Results &amp; observations. Working model</li> </ul>	Results Conclusion Comparison with previous methods	85% Completion	
5.	Final Review	• A draft copy of the paper based on the project outcome, for publication along with the guide.	Full Content of Project Conference/Journal Paper/ Both	100% Completion	

• The presentation should be done by using PPT- PPT Template would be provided by the respective Project Coordinator.

**TABLE 3: Weightage of Marks for each Review** 

Review No.	Marks
Zeroth Review	10
First Review	20
Second Review	30
Third Review	20
Final Review	20
Total	100

TABLE 4: Activity Timeline as per Table 2

THEEL WHENTEY THICKNE US PET TUBE 2								
Name of the Completion		Reasons if Deviation	Signature					
Activity	Planned	Actual	Reasons if Deviation	Student	Guide	External	HOD	

# **TABLE 4 (Contd.): Activity Timeline as per Table 2**

Name of the	Comp	mpletion Signature					etion Sign Reasons if Deviation			pletion Reasons if Deviation Sign			pletion Reasons if Deviation Sig				pletion Reasons if Deviation Signa				;	
Activity	Planned	Actual	Reasons ii Deviation	Student	Guide	External	HOD															

# **PUBLICATIONS**

S.No.	Title	Name of the Journal/ Conference	Impact Factor (If Applicable)	ISSN/ISBN No., Vol No. Issue No., Page No.	Date of Publication/ Presentation

#### **REFERENCES:**

S.No.	Reference **	Referenced for (Part of the Project)

<sup>\*\*</sup> K.K. Yiu, M.W. Mak and C.K. Li, "Gaussian Mixture Models and Probabilistic Decision-Based Neural Networks for Pattern Classification: A Comparative Study," Neural Computing and Applications, Vol. 8, Issue No., pp. 235-245, 1999.

### ATTENDANCE:

	NDANCE:		Week 1				Week 2				Week 3					
S.No.	Name of the students	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date
1																
2																
3																
4																
5																
			V	Veek	4			V	Veek	5			V	Veek	6	
S.No.	Name of the students	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date
1																
2																
3																
4																
5																
			V	Veek	7		Week 8				Week 9					
S.No.	Name of the students	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date
1																
2																
3																
4																
5																
			W	eek	10			W	eek	11			W	eek	12	
S.No.	Name of the students	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date	Date
1																
2																
3																
4																
5		-	<b>!</b>	1	1		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	1

# WEEKLY REVIEW MEETING WITH THE TEAM MEMBERS

Date of Meeting	Points discussed	Remarks
Week 1 Date:		
Week 2 Date:		
Week 3 Date:		
Week 4 Date:		
Week 5 Date:		
Week 6 Date:		
Week 7 Date:		
Week 8 Date:		

Team Members Sign: 1. Guide's Sign:

2.

**3.** 

4.

### WEEKLY REVIEW MEETING WITH THE TEAM MEMBERS

Date of Meeting	Points discussed	Remarks
Week 9 Date:		
Week 10 Date:		
Week 11 Date:		
Week 12 Date:		

Team Members Sign:	1.	Guide's Sign:

2.

3.

4.

#### TO BE SUBMITTED DURING ZEROTH REVIEW:

### SHEET 1: ABSTRACT (To be signed by Team Members and Guide)

- 1. Scope of the project
- 2. Objective
- 3. Methodology
- 4. Expected Results
- 5. Keywords at least 10 words

#### TO BE SUBMITTED DURING SECOND REVIEW:

SHEET 3: Design/ Architecture/ Flow Diagram (To be signed by Team Members and Guide)

#### TO BE SUBMITTED DURING THIRD REVIEW:

SHEET 4: Simulation Results/ PCB design (To be signed by Team Members and Guide)

#### TO BE SUBMITTED DURING FINAL REVIEW:

SHEET 5: Conclusion/ Results/ Discussion with comparison (To be signed by Team Members and Guide)

### TO BE SUBMITTED DURING FIRST REVIEW:

### **SHEET 2: LITERATURE SURVEY (To be signed by Team Members and Guide)**

- 1. Base Paper
- 2. List of papers

Title of the paper	Author(s)	Year of Publication	Algorithm/Methodology used	Drawbacks	Merits	Outcome

**Proposed Algorithm:** 

# MARKS ALLOCATION:

REVIEW	S.NO.	NAME OF THE STUDENT	DATE	MAX MARKS	MARKS OBTAINED	CUMULATIVE MARKS	REMARKS	NAME WITH SIGN
ZEROTH	1							
	2							
	3							
	4							
FIRST	1							
	2							
	3							
	4							
SECOND	1							
	2							
	3							
	4							
THIRD	1							
	2							
	3							
	4							
FINAL	1							
	2							
	3							
	4							