PRATHYUSHA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

CRITERIA-1

Curriculum Aspects

1.4.2 Feedack process of the Institution

Feedback analysis report

CSE - 2016-2017



PRATHYUSHA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

SYLLABUS ANALYSIS BASED ON STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK DATED 28.09.2016

S.No.	No. of Responses	Parameters	Positive Responses (%)	Negative Responses (%)
		Alumni		
1.	30	Does the current syllabus match the existing technology challenges?	25	5
2.	30	Any suggestions on inclusion of new theory/ practical courses/topics in the curriculum	27	3
3.	30	List of software/ programming tools too be trained to enhance the course competency	30	0
4.	30	Any suggestions	30	0
		Faculty	K. Edition of the comment of	THE THE PARTY OF T
1	24	Relevance of courses to the industrial needs	24	0
2	24	Complexity and knowledge prerequisites of the students for this course	23	1
3	24	Is the course content sufficient to attain desired course outcomes and programme outcomes with higher level of Bloom's Taxonomy	24	0
4	24	Does the course initiate critical design thinking?	24	0
5	24	Complex topics in the course	24	0
6	24	Suggestions for new topics in the course that would satisfy industrial needs	24	0
7	24	Any other suggestions	24	0
		Students	and the little of the	51

1.	105	Are your prerequisites sufficient to understand the course?	100	5
2.	105	Does the syllabus meet the industry needs?	105	0
3.	105	Does the course help you to design Projects/MiniProjects?	105	0
4.	105	Is the knowledge in this course helpful for preparing for Placements, Higher Studies, Government Examinations and Administrative Services Examinations	102	3
5.	105	Complex topics in the course	105	0
6.	105	Any other suggestions	105	0
		Employers		pair i
1.	5	Relevance of courses in Employability	4	1
2.	5	Initiation of innovative thinking in designing prototypes	5	0
3.	5	Suggestion of new Theory/ Practical Component in the syllabus to meet the industry needs	5	0
4.	5	Can consultancy work be pursued with the knowledge in this course	5	0
5.	5	Complex topics in the course	4	1
6.	5	Suggestions on tools to improve the course content	4	0
7.	5	Any other suggestions	5	0

Note:

Positive responses: The curriculum is self sufficient

Negative responses: Need for upgradation

HOD